



MBJ-Neutrosophic Structure Applied to BP-algebras: BP-subalgebras and α -ideals

Bavanari Satyanarayana ¹ , Shake Baji ^{2,*}  and Anjaneyulu Naik K. ¹ 

¹ Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Emails: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in; nelu.fuzzy@gmail.com.

² Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Emails: shakebaji6@gmail.com; shakebaji6@sircrrengg.ac.in.

* Correspondence: shakebaji6@sircrrengg.ac.in.

Abstract: In this article, we introduce the concepts of MBJ-neutrosophic BP-subalgebras and MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideals in BP-algebra by applying MBJ-neutrosophic logic to algebraic structure BP-algebra. We prove that the intersection of two MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideals and the inverse image of an MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideal are also MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideals. Furthermore, we prove an MBJ-neutrosophic set is an MBJ-neutrosophic BP-subalgebra if and only if its level sets are BP-subalgebras.

Keywords: BP-algebra; BP-subalgebra; α -ideal; MBJ-neutrosophic set; MBJ-neutrosophic BP-subalgebra; MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideal.

1. Introduction

Imai, Y. and Iséki, K. [1, 2] established two distinct categories of abstract algebraic structures: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. Since all BCK-algebras are BCI-algebras, but not conversely, BCK-algebras form a specific subclass of BCI-algebras. Hu, Q. P. and Li, X. [3, 4] introduced the vast and diverse class of abstract algebraic structures known as BCH-algebras. Their work established that all BCI-algebras are also BCH-algebras, but not conversely, BCI-algebras constitute a proper subclass of BCH-algebras. Neggers, J., Ahn, S. S., and Kim, H. S. [5] developed Q-algebras as a generalization of BCI/BCK-algebras and derived several important results. In 2002, Neggers and Kim [6, 7] developed the concept of a B-algebra and established several important results. In 2013, Ahn and Han [8] introduced a new type of algebra, called BP-algebra, which is related to various algebraic structures.

In 1965, Zadeh, L. A. [9] introduced the concept of the "degree of membership/truth" (t) and used it to define fuzzy sets. In 1986, Atanassov [10] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by adding a "degree of nonmembership/falsehood" (f) to the existing idea of fuzzy sets. In 1995, Smarandache, F., [11-13] introduced the idea of "degree of indeterminacy/neutrality" (i) as an independent component and used it to define neutrosophic sets, which have three parts: truth, indeterminacy, and falsehood. For further information see [14].

Neutrosophic sets serve as a comprehensive platform by expanding upon classic sets, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Takallo, M. M., Borzooei, R. A., and Jun, Y. B. [15] generalized the neutrosophic set to the MBJ-neutrosophic set. They utilized interval-valued fuzzy sets as the indeterminate membership function in the MBJ-neutrosophic set.

In 2015, Christopher Jefferson Y and Chandramouleeswara M. [16] introduced the concept of fuzzy BP-algebras and provided some results. They also defined fuzzy BP-Ideal [17], fuzzy T-Ideal [18], and intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideals in BP-Algebra [19]. In 2020, Osama Rashad El-Gendy [20] introduced the concept of fuzzy α -ideal in BP algebra.

The study of MBJ-neutrosophic structures in BCI/BCK-algebras has been significantly enriched by contributions from several researchers, who introduced various types of ideals, including positive

implicative [21], implicative [22], commutative [23, 24], and hyper BCK-ideals [25]. MBJ-neutrosophic structures have been extensively applied to various algebraic systems, such as KU-algebras [26], B-algebras [27], BE-algebras [28], β -algebras [29], lattice implication algebras [30], and many more. In this paper, we apply MBJ-neutrosophic logic to BP-subalgebras and α -ideals in BP-algebras, introduce MBJ-neutrosophic BP-subalgebras and MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideals, along with their characterizations.

Throughout this article, we frequently utilize various symbols and their respective meanings. These symbols are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Symbols.

Symbol	Abbreviation
BP-A	BP-algebra
BP-SA	BP-subalgebra
αI	α -ideal
FS	Fuzzy set
MBJ-NSS	MBJ-neutrosophic set
MBJ-NSBPSA	MBJ-neutrosophic BP-subalgebra
MBJ-NS αI	MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideal

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [8] A BP-A is a non-empty set M with a constant 0 and a binary operation ' \diamond ' satisfying the following conditions, for all $u, v, w \in M$

(BP-A 1) $u \diamond u = 0$

(BP-A 2) $u \diamond (u \diamond v) = v$

(BP-A 3) $(u \diamond w) \diamond (v \diamond w) = u \diamond v$.

In M , we can define a binary relation " $u \leq v \Leftrightarrow u \diamond v = 0$ ".

Definition 2.2 [16] A subset $I (\neq \phi)$ of a BP-A M is said to be a BP-SA if $u \diamond v \in I$, for all $u, v \in I$.

Definition 2.3 [20] A subset $I (\neq \phi)$ of a BP-A M is said to be an α -ideal if, for all $u, v, w \in M$:

i. $0 \in I$.

ii. $u \diamond w \in I$ and $\diamond v \in I \Rightarrow v \diamond w \in I$.

Definition 2.4 [9] Let $M (\neq \phi)$ be a set. A mapping $\alpha_T: M \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a FS on M . The complement of a FS is denoted by $\alpha_T^c(u)$, and is defined as $\alpha_T^c(u) = 1 - \alpha_T(u)$.

Definition 2.5 [16] A FS α_T of a BP-A is called a FBP-SA of M if it satisfies

$$\alpha_T(u \diamond v) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \text{ for all } u, v \in M.$$

Definition 2.6 [20] A FS α_T of a BP-A is called a Fa-I of M if it satisfies

$$\alpha_T(0) \geq \alpha_T(u) \text{ and } \alpha_T(v \diamond w) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u \diamond w), \alpha_T(u \diamond v)\}, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in M.$$

Definition 2.7 [20] Let $(M, \diamond, 0)$ and $(M', \diamond', 0')$ be BP-As. A mapping $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is called a homomorphism if $f(u \diamond v) = f(u) \diamond' f(v)$, for all $u, v \in M$.

Definition 2.8 By an interval number we mean a closed subinterval $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = [\mathcal{E}^L, \mathcal{E}^U]$ of $[I]$, where $0 \leq \mathcal{E}^L \leq \mathcal{E}^U \leq 1$. Denote by $[I]$ The set of all interval numbers. Let us define 'refined minimum' (briefly, rmin), 'refined maximum' (briefly, rmax), ' \succ ', ' \preccurlyeq ', and ' $=$ ' of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 = [\mathcal{E}_1^L, \mathcal{E}_1^U]$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 = [\mathcal{E}_2^L, \mathcal{E}_2^U]$ in $[I]$.

i. $rmin\{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2\} = [\min\{\mathcal{E}_1^L, \mathcal{E}_2^L\}, \min\{\mathcal{E}_1^U, \mathcal{E}_2^U\}]$.

ii. $rmax\{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2\} = [\max\{\mathcal{E}_1^L, \mathcal{E}_2^L\}, \max\{\mathcal{E}_1^U, \mathcal{E}_2^U\}]$.

iii. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \succ (\preccurlyeq, =) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1^L \geq (\leq, =) \mathcal{E}_2^L, \mathcal{E}_1^U \geq (\leq, =) \mathcal{E}_2^U$.

Definition 2.9 [31] An Interval-valued fuzzy set in M is a function $\tilde{\alpha}_T: M \rightarrow [I]$.

Definition 2.10 [15] Let $M (\neq \phi)$ be a set. An MBJ-Neutrosophic Structure in M is in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \langle u; \alpha_T(u), \tilde{\alpha}_T(u), \alpha_F(u) \rangle \mid u \in M \},$$

where α_T and α_F are fuzzy sets in M , which are called a truth membership function and a false membership function, respectively, and $\tilde{\alpha}_I$ is an interval-valued fuzzy set in M which is called an indeterminate interval-valued membership function. For simplicity, we will use the symbol $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \tilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ to denote the MBJ-NSS.

3. MBJ-Neutrosophic BP-subalgebra

Definition 3.1 Let M be a BP-A. An MBJ-NSS $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \tilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is called an MBJ-NSBPSA of M if, for all $u, v \in M$, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u \diamond v) &\geq \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \\ \tilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) &\succcurlyeq rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_I(u), \tilde{\alpha}_I(v)\}, \\ \alpha_F(u \diamond v) &\leq \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.2 Let $M = \{0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$ be a set with the binary operation “ \diamond ”, which is given in Table 2. Then $(M, \diamond, 0)$ is a BP-A. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \tilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSS in M , defined by Table 3:

Table 2. BP-algebra.

\diamond	0	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3
0	0	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3
ζ_1	ζ_1	0	ζ_3	ζ_2
ζ_2	ζ_2	ζ_3	0	ζ_1
ζ_3	ζ_3	ζ_2	ζ_1	0

Table 3. MBJ-Neutrosophic BP-Subalgebra.

M	$\alpha_T(u)$	$\tilde{\alpha}_I(u)$	$\alpha_F(u)$
0	0.73	[0.65,0.91]	0.23
ζ_1	0.32	[0.11,0.34]	0.82
ζ_2	0.59	[0.47,0.72]	0.45
ζ_3	0.32	[0.11,0.34]	0.82

It is common to check that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \tilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M .

Theorem 3.3 The intersection of any two MBJ-NSBPSAs of M is again an MBJ-NSBPSA.

Proof: Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be two MBJ-NSBPSAs of M . $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2}, \tilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(u \diamond v) &= \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond v), \alpha_{T_2}(u \diamond v)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u), \alpha_{T_1}(v)\}, \min\{\alpha_{T_2}(u), \alpha_{T_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u), \alpha_{T_2}(u)\}, \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(v), \alpha_{T_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= \min\{(\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(u), (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(v)\}, \\ (\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(u \diamond v) &= rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond v), \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u \diamond v)\} \\ &\succcurlyeq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \tilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(v)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u), \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= \min\{rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(v), \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{(\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(u), (\tilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \tilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(v)\}, \\ (\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(u \diamond v) &= \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond v), \alpha_{F_2}(u \diamond v)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u), \alpha_{F_1}(v)\}, \max\{\alpha_{F_2}(u), \alpha_{F_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u), \alpha_{F_2}(u)\}, \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(v), \alpha_{F_2}(v)\}\} \\ &= \max\{(\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(u), (\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M .

For a given MBJ-NSS $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \tilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ in a BP-A M , we consider the following sets [15] :

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(\alpha_T, m) &= \{u \in M \mid \alpha_T(u) \geq m\}, \\ U_2(\tilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2]) &= \{u \in M \mid \tilde{\alpha}_I(u) \succcurlyeq [b_1, b_2]\}, \\ L(\alpha_F, j) &= \{u \in M \mid \alpha_F(u) \leq j\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [I]$.

Lemma 3.4 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSBPSA of a BP-A M. Then

- i. $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$ and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are either empty or BP-SAs of M.
- ii. $\alpha_T(0) \geq \alpha_T(u)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(0) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)$, and $\alpha_F(0) \leq \alpha_F(u)$, for all $u \in M$.

where $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [I]$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M. Let $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [0,1]$ be such that $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are non-empty. For any $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3 \in M$, if $u_1, v_1 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $u_2, v_2 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $u_3, v_3 \in L(\alpha_F, j)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u_1 \diamond v_1) &\geq \min\{\alpha_T(u_1), \alpha_T(v_1)\} \geq \min\{m, m\} = m, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u_2 \diamond v_2) &\succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u_2), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_2)\} \succcurlyeq rmin\{[b_1, b_2], [b_1, b_2]\} = [b_1, b_2], \\ \alpha_F(u_3 \diamond v_3) &\leq \max\{\alpha_F(u_3), \alpha_F(v_3)\} \leq \max\{j, j\} = j \end{aligned}$$

and so $u_1 \diamond v_1 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $u_2 \diamond v_2 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $u_3 \diamond v_3 \in L(\alpha_F, j)$.

Therefore, $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are BP-SAs of M.

- ii. $\alpha_T(0) = \alpha_T(u \diamond u) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(u)\} = \alpha_T(u)$,
 $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(0) = \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond u) \succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)\} = \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)$,
 $\alpha_F(0) = \alpha_F(u \diamond u) \leq \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(u)\} = \alpha_F(u)$.

Therefore, $\alpha_T(0) \geq \alpha_T(u)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(0) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)$ and $\alpha_F(0) \leq \alpha_F(u)$, for all $u \in M$.

Lemma 3.5 An MBJ-NSS $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ in a BP-A M is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M if and only if for all $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [0,1]$, the non-empty sets $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are BP-SAs of M.

Proof. The proof of the sufficient part follows from Lemma 3.4 (1).

Conversely, assume that $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are BP-SAs of M. If

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u_1 \diamond v_1) &< \min\{\alpha_T(u_1), \alpha_T(v_1)\}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u_2 \diamond v_2) &< rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u_2), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_2)\}, \\ \alpha_F(u_3 \diamond v_3) &> \max\{\alpha_F(u_3), \alpha_F(v_3)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3 \in M$. Then $u_1, v_1 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m_0)$, $u_2, v_2 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_{01}, b_{02}])$, and $u_3, v_3 \in L(\alpha_F, j_0)$, but $u_1 \diamond v_1 \notin U_1(\alpha_T, m_0)$, $u_2 \diamond v_2 \notin U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_{01}, b_{02}])$, and $u_3 \diamond v_3 \notin L(\alpha_F, j_0)$, for $m_0 = \min\{\alpha_T(u_1), \alpha_T(v_1)\}$, $[b_{01}, b_{02}] = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u_2), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_2)\}$, and $j_0 = \max\{\alpha_F(u_3), \alpha_F(v_3)\}$.

This is a contradiction with the fact that $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are BP-SAs of M for all $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [I]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u \diamond v) &\geq \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) &\succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v)\}, \\ \alpha_F(u \diamond v) &\leq \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}, \text{ for all } u, v \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M.

Theorem 3.6 Any BP-SA of a BP-A M can be realized as a level subalgebra of some MBJ-NSBPSA of M.

Proof: Let I be a BP-SA of M, and $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSS in M defined by

$$\alpha_T(u) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } u \in I, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u) = \begin{cases} [b_1, b_2], & \text{if } u \in I, \\ [0,0], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \alpha_F(u) = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } u \in I, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m, b_1, b_2 \in [0,1]$ with $b_1 \leq b_2$ and $j \in [0,1]$. Let $u, v \in M$.

If $u, v \in I$, then $u \diamond v \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u \diamond v) &= m = \min\{m, m\} = \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) &= [b_1, b_2] = rmin\{[b_1, b_2], [b_1, b_2]\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v)\}, \\ \alpha_F(u \diamond v) &= j = \max\{j, j\} = \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If both $u, v \notin I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u \diamond v) &\geq 0 = \min\{0,0\} = \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) &\succcurlyeq [0,0] = rmin\{[0,0], [0,0]\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v)\}, \\ \alpha_F(u \diamond v) &\leq 1 = \max\{1,1\} = \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in I$ and $v \notin I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_T(u \diamond v) &\geq 0 = \min\{m, 0\} = \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) &\succcurlyeq [0,0] = rmin\{[b_1, b_2], [0,0]\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_F(u \diamond v) \leq 1 = \max\{j, 1\} = \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}.$$

If $u \notin I$ and $v \in I$, then

$$\alpha_T(u \diamond v) \geq 0 = \min\{0, m\} = \min\{\alpha_T(u), \alpha_T(v)\},$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v) \succcurlyeq [0,0] = rmin\{[0,0], [b_1, b_2]\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v)\},$$

$$\alpha_F(u \diamond v) \leq 1 = \max\{1, j\} = \max\{\alpha_F(u), \alpha_F(v)\}.$$

This shows that I is a level subalgebra of M corresponding to the MBJ-NSBPSA of M .

4. MBJ-neutrosophic α -Ideal in BP-algebra

Definition 4.1 Let M be a BP-A. An MBJ-NSS in M is called an MBJ-NS α I of M if it satisfies the following conditions

(MBJ-NS α -I 1) $\alpha_T(0) \geq \alpha_T(u)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(0) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)$, and $\alpha_F(0) \geq \alpha_F(u)$

(MBJ-NS α -I 2) $\alpha_T(v \diamond w) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u \diamond w), \alpha_T(u \diamond v)\}$

(MBJ-NS α -I 3) $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v \diamond w) \succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)\}$

(MBJ-NS α -I 4) $\alpha_F(v \diamond w) \leq \max\{\alpha_F(u \diamond w), \alpha_F(u \diamond v)\}$, for all $u, v, w \in M$.

Example 4.2 Consider a BP-A $M = \{0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$ in which the “ \diamond ” operation is given in Table 4.

Table 4. BP-algebra.

\diamond	0	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3
0	0	ζ_1	ζ_2	ζ_3
ζ_1	ζ_1	ζ_3	ζ_2	ζ_1
ζ_2	ζ_2	ζ_3	0	ζ_1
ζ_3	ζ_3	ζ_1	0	ζ_2

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSS in M defined by Table 5.

Table 5. MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideal.

M	$\alpha_T(u)$	$\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u)$	$\alpha_F(u)$
0	0.85	[0.75, 0.93]	0.22
ζ_1	0.69	[0.57, 0.89]	0.51
ζ_2	0.31	[0.27, 0.46]	0.97
ζ_3	0.01	[0.27, 0.46]	0.97

Straightforward computations reveal that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-neutrosophic α -ideal of M .

Theorem 4.3 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NS α I of a BP-A M . If $u \leq v$ holds in M , then $\alpha_T(v \diamond w) \geq \alpha_T(u \diamond w)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v \diamond w) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond w)$, and $\alpha_F(v \diamond w) \leq \alpha_F(u \diamond w)$, for all $u, v, w \in M$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NS α I of M and $u \leq v$ holds. Then, $u \diamond v = 0$. Now, utilizing (MBJ-NS α I 1) we obtain

$$\alpha_T(v \diamond w) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u \diamond w), \alpha_T(u \diamond v)\} = \min\{\alpha_T(u \diamond w), \alpha_T(0)\} = \alpha_T(u \diamond w),$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v \diamond w) \succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(0)\} = \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond w),$$

$$\alpha_F(v \diamond w) \leq \max\{\alpha_F(u \diamond w), \alpha_F(u \diamond v)\} = \max\{\alpha_F(u \diamond w), \alpha_F(0)\} = \alpha_F(u \diamond w).$$

Theorem 4.4 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NS α I of a BP-A M . If $u \leq v \diamond u$ holds in M , then $\alpha_T(u) \geq \alpha_T(u \diamond v)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)$ and $\alpha_F(u) \geq \alpha_F(u \diamond v)$, for all $u, v \in M$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NS α I of M and $u \leq v \diamond u$ holds in M . Then, $u \diamond (v \diamond u) = 0$. Now, replacing w by $v \diamond u$ in (MBJ-NS α -I 2,3,4) and utilizing (MBJ-NS α -I 1), (BP-A 2) we obtain

$$\alpha_T(v \diamond (v \diamond u)) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u \diamond (v \diamond u)), \alpha_T(u \diamond v)\} = \min\{\alpha_T(0), \alpha_T(u \diamond v)\} = \alpha_T(u \diamond v)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_T(u) \geq \alpha_T(u \diamond v),$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v \diamond (v \diamond u)) \succcurlyeq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond (v \diamond u)), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)\} = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(0), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)\} = \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v)$$

$$\Rightarrow \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u) \succcurlyeq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(u \diamond v),$$

$$\alpha_F(v \diamond (v \diamond u)) \leq \max\{\alpha_F(u \diamond (v \diamond u)), \alpha_F(u \diamond v)\} = \max\{\alpha_F(0), \alpha_F(u \diamond v)\} = \alpha_F(u \diamond v) \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_F(u) \leq \alpha_F(u \diamond v).$$

Theorem 4.5 The intersection of any two MBJ-NSaIs in a BP-A M is also an MBJ-NSaI of M.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ be any two MBJ-NSaIs of M. Then,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2}).$$

$$(\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(0) = \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(0), \alpha_{T_2}(0)\} \geq \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u), \alpha_{T_2}(u)\} \geq (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(u),$$

$$(\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(v \diamond w) = \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(v \diamond w), \alpha_{T_2}(v \diamond w)\} \\ \geq \min\{\min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond v)\}, \min\{\alpha_{T_2}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{T_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = \min\{\min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{T_2}(u \diamond w)\}, \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond v), \alpha_{T_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = \min\{(\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(v \diamond w), (\alpha_{T_1} \cap \alpha_{T_2})(v \diamond w)\},$$

$$(\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(0) = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(0), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(0)\} \geq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u)\} = (\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(u),$$

$$(\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(v \diamond w) = rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(v \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(v \diamond w)\} \\ \geq rmin\{rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond v)\}, rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = rmin\{rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u \diamond w)\}, rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond v), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = rmin\{(\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(v \diamond w), (\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1} \cap \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2})(v \diamond w)\},$$

$$(\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(0) = \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(0), \alpha_{F_2}(0)\} \leq \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u), \alpha_{F_2}(u)\} = (\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(u),$$

$$(\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(v \diamond w) = \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(v \diamond w), \alpha_{F_2}(v \diamond w)\} \\ \leq \max\{\max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond v)\}, \max\{\alpha_{F_2}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{F_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = \max\{\max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{F_2}(u \diamond w)\}, \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond v), \alpha_{F_2}(u \diamond v)\}\} \\ = \max\{(\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(v \diamond w), (\alpha_{F_1} \cap \alpha_{F_2})(v \diamond w)\}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is an MBJ-NSaI of M.

Theorem 4.6 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSaI of a BP-A M. Then, for every $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [I]$, $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are α -ideals of BP-A M.

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSaI of M. For any $u_1, v_1, w_1 \in M$, if $u_1 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $v_1 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $w_1 \in L(\alpha_F, j)$, then we obtain

$$\alpha_T(0) \geq \alpha_T(u_1) \geq m \Rightarrow 0 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m) \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_I(0) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_1) \geq [b_1, b_2] \Rightarrow 0 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2]) \\ \alpha_F(0) \leq \alpha_F(w_1) \leq j \Rightarrow 0 \in L(\alpha_F, j).$$

For any $u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3 \in M$,

if $u_1 \diamond u_3, u_1 \diamond u_2 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m)$; $v_1 \diamond v_3, v_1 \diamond v_2 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$; and $w_1 \diamond w_3, w_1 \diamond w_2 \in L(\alpha_F, j)$, then

$\alpha_T(u_1 \diamond u_3) \geq m$, $\alpha_T(u_1 \diamond u_2) \geq m$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_1 \diamond v_3) \geq [b_1, b_2]$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_1 \diamond v_2) \geq [b_1, b_2]$, $\alpha_F(w_1 \diamond w_3) \leq j$ and $\alpha_F(w_1 \diamond w_2) \leq j$.

Now, by utilizing (MBJ-NSa-I 2), (MBJ-NSa-I 3), and (MBJ-NSa-I 4), we obtain

$$\alpha_T(u_2 \diamond u_3) \geq \min\{\alpha_T(u_1 \diamond u_3), \alpha_T(u_1 \diamond u_2)\} \geq \min\{m, m\} = m \Rightarrow u_2 \diamond u_3 \in U_1(\alpha_T, m),$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_2 \diamond v_3) \geq rmin\{\widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_1 \diamond v_3), \widetilde{\alpha}_I(v_1 \diamond v_2)\} \geq rmin\{[b_1, b_2], [b_1, b_2]\} = [b_1, b_2]$$

$$\Rightarrow v_2 \diamond v_3 \in U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2]),$$

$$\alpha_F(w_2 \diamond w_3) \leq \max\{\alpha_F(w_1 \diamond w_3), \alpha_F(w_1 \diamond w_2)\} \leq \max\{j, j\} = j \Rightarrow w_2 \diamond w_3 \in L(\alpha_F, j).$$

Therefore, $U_1(\alpha_T, m)$, $U_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_I, [b_1, b_2])$, and $L(\alpha_F, j)$ are α -ideals of BP-A M, for any $m, j \in [0,1]$ and $[b_1, b_2] \in [I]$.

Theorem 4.7 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSS of a BP-algebra M. If $\alpha_T, \alpha_I^L, \alpha_I^U$ and α_F^C are fuzzy α -ideals of M, then $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSaI of M.

Theorem 4.8 Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ be an MBJ-NSS of a BP-algebra M. If $\alpha_T, \alpha_I^L, \alpha_I^U$ and α_F^C are BP-SAs of M, then $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_T, \widetilde{\alpha}_I, \alpha_F)$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M.

Definition 4.9 Let $(M, \diamond, 0)$ and $(M', \diamond', 0')$ be BP-As, and let f be a mapping from the set M to the set M'. If $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ are MBJ-NSSs of M and M' respectively, then

$$f(\alpha_{T_1})(v) = \alpha_{T_2}(v) = \begin{cases} \sup_{u \in f^{-1}(v)} \alpha_{T_1}(u), & \text{if } f^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1})(v) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(v) = \begin{cases} \text{rsup}_{u \in f^{-1}(v)} \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), & \text{if } f^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset, \\ [0,0] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\alpha_{F_1})(v) = \alpha_{F_2}(v) = \begin{cases} \text{inf}_{u \in f^{-1}(v)} \alpha_{F_1}(u), & \text{if } f^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is called the image of $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ under f , for all $v \in Y$.

Similarly, for an MBJ-NSS $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ in M' an MBJ-NSS $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2 \circ f$ in M is defined as

$$\alpha_{T_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{T_1}(u), \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u)) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{F_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{F_1}(u),$$

for all $u \in M$ and is called the preimage of \mathcal{A}_2 in M .

Theorem 4.10 A monomorphic pre-image of an MBJ-NSaI of BP-A is also an MBJ-NSaI.

Proof. Let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be a monomorphism of BP-As. Assume that $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ is an MBJ-NSaI in M' and $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ is the preimage of \mathcal{A}_2 under f . Then

$$\alpha_{T_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{T_1}(u), \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u)) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \quad \alpha_{F_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{F_1}(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in M. \text{ Now,}$$

$$\alpha_{T_1}(0) = \alpha_{T_2}(f(0)) \geq \alpha_{T_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{T_1}(u),$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(0) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(0)) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u)) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u),$$

$$\alpha_{F_1}(0) = \alpha_{F_2}(f(0)) \leq \alpha_{F_2}(f(u)) = \alpha_{F_1}(u)$$

Now let $u, v, z \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{T_1}(v \diamond w) &= \alpha_{T_2}(f(v \diamond w)) = \alpha_{T_2}(f(v) \diamond' f(w)) \\ &\geq \min\{\alpha_{T_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(w)), \alpha_{T_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v))\} \\ &= \min\{\alpha_{T_2}(f(u \diamond w)), \alpha_{T_2}(f(u \diamond v))\} \\ &= \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond v)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(v \diamond w) &= \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(v \diamond w)) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(v) \diamond' f(w)) \\ &\geq \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(w)), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v))\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u \diamond w)), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u \diamond v))\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond w), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond v)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{F_1}(v \diamond w) &= \alpha_{F_2}(f(v \diamond w)) = \alpha_{F_2}(f(v) \diamond' f(w)) \\ &\leq \max\{\alpha_{F_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(w)), \alpha_{F_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v))\} \\ &= \max\{\alpha_{F_2}(f(u \diamond w)), \alpha_{F_2}(f(u \diamond v))\} \\ &= \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond w), \alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the preimage of an MBJ-NSaI of a B -algebra is also an MBJ-NSaI.

Theorem 4.11 Let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be a monomorphism of BP-algebras. If $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M' , then its preimage $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ is also an MBJ-NSBPSA of M .

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_2 = (\alpha_{T_2}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}, \alpha_{F_2})$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M' .

Now, let $u, v \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{T_1}(u \diamond v) &= \alpha_{T_2}(f(u \diamond v)) = \alpha_{T_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v)) \geq \min\{\alpha_{T_2}(f(u)), \alpha_{T_2}(f(v))\} \\ &= \min\{\alpha_{T_1}(u), \alpha_{T_1}(v)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u \diamond v) &= \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u \diamond v)) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(u)), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_2}(f(v))\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(u), \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}(v)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{F_1}(u \diamond v) &= \alpha_{F_2}(f(u \diamond v)) = \alpha_{F_2}(f(u) \diamond' f(v)) \leq \max\{\alpha_{F_2}(f(u)), \alpha_{F_2}(f(v))\} \\ &= \max\{\alpha_{F_1}(u), \alpha_{F_1}(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}_1 = (\alpha_{T_1}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{I_1}, \alpha_{F_1})$ is an MBJ-NSBPSA of M .

5. Conclusion

In this study, we applied MBJ-neutrosophic structures to the algebraic structure BP-A and introduced the concepts of MBJ-NSBPSAs and MBJ-NSaIs with examples. We proved that the intersection of two MBJ-NSBPSAs is also an MBJ-NSBPSA, and similarly, the intersection of two MBJ-NSaIs is also an

MBJ-NS α I. Furthermore, we showed that under a homomorphism, the preimage of an MBJ-NSBPSPA is an MBJ-NSBPSPA, and the preimage of an MBJ-NS α I is an MBJ-NS α I.

These findings significantly advance our theoretical understanding of MBJ-neutrosophic structures in the field of BP-As. The methodology used in this article is also applicable to many other algebraic structures. To further expand on these results, future studies may focus on

- MBJ-neutrosophic T-ideals in BP-algebra.
- MBJ-neutrosophic BP-ideals in BP-algebra.
- MBJ-neutrosophic translations in BP-algebra.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The results/data/figures in this manuscript have not been published elsewhere, nor are they under consideration by another publisher. All the material is owned by the authors, and/or no permissions are required.

Consent for Publication

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests in the research.

Funding

This research was not supported by any funding agency or institute.

Author Contribution

All authors contributed equally to this research.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the editorial and reviewers, as well as the correspondent author, who offered assistance in the form of advice, assessment, and checking during the study period.

References

1. Iseki, K., & Tanaka, S. (1978). An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras. *Math. japonica*, 23, 1–26.
2. Iseki, K. (1980). On BCI-algebras. *Math. seminar notes*, 8, 125–130.
3. Hu, Q. P., & Li, X. (1983). On BCH-algebras. *Math. seminar notes*, 11, 313–320. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1572261549626079744>
4. Hu, Q. P., & Li, X. (1985). On Proper BCH-Algebras. *Mathematica japonica*, 30(4), 659–661.
5. Neggers, J., Ahn, S. S., & Kim, H. S. (2001). On Q-algebras. *International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences*, 27, 749–757. DOI:10.1155/S0161171201006627
6. Neggers, J., & Kim, H. S. (2002). On B-algebras. *Math. vesnik*, 54, 21–29.
7. Park, H. K., & Kim, H. S. (2001). On quadratic B-algebras. *Quasigroups and related systems*, 7, 67–72.
8. Ahn, S. S., & Han, J. S. (2013). ON BP-ALGEBRAS. *Hacettepe journal of mathematics and statistics*, 42(5), 551–557. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/hujms/issue/7746/101253>
9. L. A. Zadeh. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8, 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
10. Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 20(1), 87–96. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3)
11. Smarandache, F. (2000). Neutrosophic Probability, Set, And Logic (first version). DOI:10.5281/zenodo.57726
12. Smarandache, F. (2003). A Unifying Field In Logics : Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy , Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability . Isbn 1-879585-76-6 American Research Press Rehoboth. , Romania.

13. Smarandache, F. (2006). Neutrosophic set - A generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. 2006 IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing, 38–42. DOI:10.1109/grc.2006.1635754
14. AL-Omeri, W. F., & Kaviyarasu, M. (2024). Study on Neutrosophic Graph with Application on Earthquake Response Center in Japan. , 16 Symmetry.
15. Takallo, M. M., Borzooei, R. A., & Jun, Y. B. (2018). MBJ-neutrosophic structures and its applications in BCK/BCI-algebras. Neutrosophic sets and systems, 23(December), 72–84. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.2155211
16. Christopher Jefferson, Y., & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2015). Fuzzy Algebraic Structure in BP Algebra. Mathematical sciences international research journal, 4(2), 336–339.
17. Christopher Jefferson, Y., & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2016). Fuzzy BP-ideal. Global journal of pure and applied mathematics, 12(4), 3083–3091.
18. Christopher Jefferson, Y., & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2016). Fuzzy T-ideals in BP-algebras. International journal of contemporary mathematical sciences, 11(9), 425–436.
19. Christopher Jefferson, Y., & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2017). On Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Ideals of BP-Algebras. Int. j. pure. appl. math, 112, 113–122. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v112i5.13>
20. Osama Rashad El-Gendy. (2020). Bipolar Fuzzy α -ideal of BP-algebra. American journal of mathematics and statistics, 10(2), 33–37. DOI:10.5923/j.ajms.20201002.01.
21. Hur, K., Jun, Y. B., & Lee, J. (2019). Positive implicative MBJ-neutrosophic ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras. Annals of fuzzy mathematics and informatics, 17, 65–78. DOI:10.30948/afmi.2019.17.1.65
22. Takallo, M. M., Borzooei, R. A., Song, S.-Z., & Jun, Y. B. (2021). Implicative ideals of BCK-algebras based on MBJ-neutrosophic sets. AIMS mathematics, 6(10), 11029–11045. DOI:10.3934/math.2021640
23. Jun, Y. B., & Mohseni Takallo, M. (2021). Commutative MBJ-neutrosophic ideals of BCK-algebras. Journal of algebraic hyperstructures and logical algebras, 2, 69–81. DOI:10.52547/HATEF.JAHLA.2.1.5
24. Song, S.-Z., Öztürk, M. A., & Jun, Y.-B. (2021). Commutative Ideals of BCI-Algebras Using MBJ-Neutrosophic Structures. Mathematics.
25. Khademan, S., Zahedi, M. M., Borzooei, R. A., & Jun, Y. B. (2019). Neutrosophic Hyper BCK-Ideals. Neutrosophic sets and systems, 27, 201–217.
26. Manivasan, S., & Kalidass, P. (2021). MBJ-neutrosophic Ideals of KU-algebras. Journal of physics: conference series, 2070, 12047. DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/2070/1/012047
27. Khalid, M., Khalid, N. A., & Iqbal, R. (2020). MBJ-neutrosophic T-ideal on B-algebra. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 29–39. DOI:10.54216/IJNS.010103
28. Borzooei, R. A., Kim, H. S., Jun, Y. B., & Ahn, S. S. (2022). MBJ-neutrosophic subalgebras and filters in BE-algebras. AIMS mathematics, 7(4), 6016–6033. DOI:10.3934/math.2022335
29. Muralikrishna, P., & Manokaran, S. (2020). MBJ-Neutrosophic α -Ideal of α -Algebra. Neutrosophic sets and systems, 35, 99–118.
30. Babu, V. A., Begum, K. A., & Malleswari, V. S. N. (2022). MBJ-Neutrosophic Implicative LI-Ideals in Lattice Implication Algebras. Mathematical statistician and engineering applications, 71(3s2), 1022–1031.
31. Gorzalczany, M. B. (1987). A method of inference in approximate reasoning based on interval-valued fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 21(1), 1–17. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(87\)90148-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90148-5)

Received: 10 Aug 2024, **Revised:** 07 Jan 2024,

Accepted: 31 Jan 2025, **Available online:** 02 Feb 2025.



© 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The perspectives, opinions, and data shared in all publications are the sole responsibility of the individual authors and contributors, and do not necessarily reflect the views of Sciences Force or the editorial team. Sciences Force and the editorial team disclaim any liability for potential harm to individuals or property resulting from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products referenced in the content.