Systems Assessment and Engineering Management

Journal Homepage: sciencesforce.com/saem

Syst. Assess. Eng. Manage. Vol. 3 (2025) 1–8

Paper Type: Original Article

A Right-Brain-Based Epistemology Grounded on Local Wisdom: Intuilytics as a Culturally-Meaningful Alternative in Science

Victor Christianto [1,*], Florentin Smarandache [2] and Yunita Umniyati [3]

[1] Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia; victorchristianto@gmail.com.

[2] University of New Mexico, Mathematics, Physics and Natural Sciences Division 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA; smarand@unm.edu.

[3] Head of Program, Department of Mechatronics, Swiss-German University, Tangerang, Indonesia; yunita.umniyati@sgu.ac.id.

Received: 12 Aug 2024 **Revised:** 16 Dec 2024 **Accepted:** 07 Jan 2025 **Published:** 09 Jan 2025

Abstract

Epistemology, the study of knowledge, has long grappled with the challenge of determining truth. While the combination of deductive and inductive reasoning has been a cornerstone of scientific inquiry, several limitations emerge in its application to contemporary epistemology. One significant issue lies within the core of falsificationism, a prominent philosophy championed by Karl Popper. Falsificationism posits that a scientific theory can only be proven false, not true. While this approach aims to prevent dogmatic adherence to untested ideas, it can lead to an endless cycle of trial and error. As Popper himself acknowledged, no single experiment can definitively prove a theory correct. Summarizing, the dominance of rationalist thinking has shaped the way we view the world and conduct scientific research. However, this approach often neglects the subjective dimensions of human experience, such as intuition, emotion, and creativity. Consequently, many complex phenomena are difficult to fully explain through the lens of rationalism alone. This article aims to explore the potential of right-brain-based epistemology, which we call intuilytics. This approach emphasizes the role of intuition, creativity, and holistic thinking in the process of acquiring knowledge. This article presents a critical perspective on today's epistemological practices. It does not intend to discredit the importance of deductive-inductive reasoning or the valuable contributions of philosophers like Karl Popper and economists like Ronald Coase.

Keywords: Epistemology; Falsificationism; Intuilytics.

1 | Introduction

For centuries, the Western epistemological paradigm has been dominated by a rationalist approach that prioritizes logic, analysis, and objectivity; in the meantime, those processes are not without their problems [1-7]. Iain McGilchrist's work, *The Master and His Emissary*, has made significant contributions to remapping our understanding of human cognitive processes. McGilchrist highlights the duality of the human brain, where the left hemisphere is more dominant in linear, analytical, and verbal information processing, while the right hemisphere excels in holistic, intuitive, and spatial information processing.

The dominance of rationalist thinking has shaped the way we view the world and conduct scientific research. However, this approach often neglects the subjective dimensions of human experience, such as intuition,

Corresponding Author: victorchristianto@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.61356/j.saem.2025.3460

emotion, and creativity. Consequently, many complex phenomena are difficult to fully explain through the lens of rationalism alone.

Meanwhile, a long time ago in the ancient world, the Greeks believed that all great insights came from one of nine muses, divine sisters who brought inspiration to mere mortals. In the modern world, few people still believe in the muses, but we all still love to hear stories of sudden inspiration. Like Newton and the apple, or Archimedes and the bathtub (both another type of myth), we're eager to hear and share stories about flashes of insight. But what does it take to be actually creative? How to have such a flash insight? Turns out, there is real science behind "aha moments." We prefer to call it "*intuilytics*." And the best part of it is that intuilytics can be put into tangible processes, not just flashes of insights.

This article aims to explore the potential of right-brain-based epistemology, which we call *intuilytics*. This approach emphasizes the role of intuition, creativity, and holistic thinking in the process of acquiring knowledge. Thus, intuilytics offers a refreshing alternative to the existing scientific paradigm, allowing us to explore previously neglected dimensions of human experience.

1.1 | Limitations of Rationalist Epistemology

Rationalist epistemology has made invaluable contributions to the development of science. However, there are some fundamental limitations to this approach:

- Reductionism: The rationalist approach often reduces complex phenomena to simpler components, losing nuances and broader meanings.
- Excessive objectivity: The pursuit of absolute objectivity can hinder the recognition of the role of values, beliefs, and personal experiences in the research process.
- Neglect of subjective dimensions: Intuition, emotion, and subjective experiences are often considered distractions in the scientific process, even though these elements can be valuable sources of insight.

1.2 | Finding Meaning in Science: The Case for Culturally-Grounded Epistemology

The pursuit of scientific knowledge, while driven by a universal quest for truth, often lacks a crucial element: meaning. For students and scientists alike, the endeavor can feel disconnected from their lived experiences, their cultural values, and ultimately, their sense of purpose. This disconnect arises from a dominant epistemology that privileges Western, often Eurocentric, perspectives, neglecting the rich tapestry of knowledge systems embedded within local cultures.

This article argues that grounding scientific epistemology in local wisdom and culturally meaningful values is essential for several reasons:

1. Fostering Deeper Engagement and Motivation:

- Connecting to personal values: When scientific inquiry is linked to local concerns and cultural values, it becomes more personally meaningful. Students and scientists can see how their research addresses real-world challenges faced by their communities, such as environmental degradation, food security, or healthcare disparities. This connection fosters a deeper sense of purpose and motivation.
- Increasing relevance and impact: By drawing upon local knowledge and perspectives, scientific research can become more relevant to the specific needs and contexts of different communities. This can lead to more effective solutions and greater societal impact.

2. Promoting Interdisciplinary and Holistic Approaches:

- Bridging divides: Integrating local wisdom with scientific methods can bridge the gap between traditional knowledge and modern science. This interdisciplinary approach can lead to the more comprehensive and holistic understanding of complex phenomena.

- Addressing complex challenges: Many of the world's most pressing challenges, such as climate change and biodiversity loss, require integrated solutions that draw upon diverse knowledge systems. Culturally grounded epistemology can provide valuable insights and perspectives that are often overlooked in purely Western-centric approaches.

3. Cultivating Ethical and Responsible Research:

- Respecting diverse worldviews: Grounding science in local values promotes respect for diverse worldviews and knowledge systems. This can help to avoid the ethical pitfalls of imposing Western scientific values and methodologies on other cultures.

- Fostering environmental stewardship: Many indigenous cultures have deep ecological knowledge and strong ethical frameworks for interacting with the natural world. Incorporating these perspectives into scientific research can promote more sustainable and ethical practices.

4. Enhancing Creativity and Innovation:

- Drawing on diverse perspectives: By drawing upon the diverse perspectives and knowledge systems embedded within local cultures, scientists can gain new insights and approaches to problem-solving. This can foster greater creativity and innovation in scientific research.

- Overcoming cognitive biases: Engaging with different cultural perspectives can help scientists overcome cognitive biases and blind spots that may be inherent in their own cultural backgrounds.

In conclusion, grounding scientific epistemology in local wisdom and culturally meaningful values is not merely an academic exercise. It is a crucial step towards creating a more just, equitable, and sustainable future. By connecting science to the lived experiences, values, and aspirations of diverse communities, we can cultivate a more meaningful and impactful scientific endeavor that truly serves the needs of humanity.

1.3 | Intuilytics: An Epistemology Grounded in the Wisdom of the Right Brain

Iain McGilchrist, in his seminal work The Master and His Emissary, argues that Western civilization has become dangerously imbalanced, overemphasizing the functions of the left hemisphere of the brain – logic, analysis, and linear thinking – while neglecting the wisdom of the right hemisphere: intuition, holism, and empathy. This imbalance, he contends, has led to a fragmented and ultimately unsustainable worldview.

Building upon McGilchrist's insights, this article proposes intuilytics as an epistemology that prioritizes the right brain's capacities. Intuilytics recognizes that true understanding emerges not solely from cold, hard logic, but from a deeper, more nuanced engagement with reality.

Key tenets of Intuilytics:

- Holistic Perception: Intuilytics emphasizes the interconnectedness of all things. It encourages us to see the bigger picture and to understand how individual elements relate to the whole system. This holistic perspective is crucial for addressing complex challenges like climate change, where isolated solutions rarely succeed.

- Intuitive Knowing: Intuilytics acknowledges the power of intuition – that "gut feeling" that often precedes conscious reasoning. This doesn't negate the importance of logic but rather suggests that intuition can provide valuable insights and guide the direction of inquiry.

- Embodied Knowledge: Intuilytics recognizes that knowledge is not solely a product of abstract thought, but is deeply embedded within our bodies and experiences. It values embodied practices like mindfulness, meditation, and artistic expression as pathways to deeper understanding.
- Empathy and Connection: Intuilytics emphasizes the importance of empathy and connection in the pursuit of knowledge. It recognizes that true understanding requires us to connect with others, to understand their perspectives and experiences, and to cultivate compassion.
- Creative Exploration: Intuilytics encourages creative exploration and experimentation. It values the role of imagination and playfulness in the process of discovery, recognizing that breakthroughs often arise from unexpected connections and novel perspectives.

Implications for Science:

- Shifting focus from reductionism to holism: Instead of breaking down complex phenomena into smaller, more manageable parts, intuilytics encourages scientists to consider the interconnectedness of systems.
- Integrating subjective experience: Intuilytics acknowledges the value of subjective experience in scientific inquiry, recognizing that personal narratives and lived experiences can provide valuable insights.
- Cultivating interdisciplinary collaboration: Intuilytics encourages collaboration between different disciplines and knowledge systems, fostering a more holistic and integrated approach to research.
- Prioritizing ethical considerations: By emphasizing empathy and connection, intuilytics encourages scientists to consider the ethical implications of their work and to prioritize the well-being of both human and non-human beings.

Intuilytics can be applied in various fields of science, such as:

- Physics: Understanding concepts like quantum entanglement and meaning in mathematical physics that are difficult to visualize intuitively.
- Biology: Exploring the phenomena of consciousness and the complexity of living systems.
- Psychology: Studying creative processes, intuition, and mystical experiences.

2 | Discussion

2.1 | Limitations of Combined Deductive-inductive Reasoning in Present Epistemology

Epistemology, the study of knowledge, has long grappled with the challenge of determining truth. While the combination of deductive and inductive reasoning has been a cornerstone of scientific inquiry, several limitations emerge in its application to contemporary epistemology.

One significant issue lies within the core of falsificationism, a prominent philosophy championed by Karl Popper. Falsificationism posits that a scientific theory can only be proven false, not true. While this approach aims to prevent dogmatic adherence to untested ideas, it can lead to an endless cycle of trial and error. As Popper himself acknowledged, no single experiment can definitively prove a theory correct. This constant pursuit of falsification can hinder progress by diverting attention from potentially fruitful research avenues.

Furthermore, the process of identifying the initial hypothesis for falsification often lacks clarity. While creativity and guidance from experienced researchers are crucial in formulating hypotheses, there's no universally agreed-upon method to determine the "correct" starting point. This subjectivity can introduce bias and hinder the objective evaluation of theories.

Another critical challenge arises from the increasing reliance on statistical methods to analyze data. While statistics provide valuable tools for understanding complex phenomena, the potential for misuse is significant. As economist Ronald Coase famously warned, "If you torture data long enough, it will confess to anything." This highlights the danger of manipulating data to support preconceived notions or to achieve desired outcomes. The subjective choices made in data selection, analysis, and interpretation can significantly influence the conclusions drawn, raising concerns about the objectivity and reliability of research findings.

In conclusion, while the combination of deductive and inductive reasoning remains a valuable framework for scientific inquiry, its limitations in contemporary epistemology are becoming increasingly apparent. The inherent limitations of falsificationism, the lack of clear guidance in hypothesis generation, and the potential for misuse of statistical methods all pose significant challenges to the pursuit of objective knowledge. Addressing these issues requires a critical re-evaluation of our epistemological assumptions and a renewed focus on developing robust methodologies that ensure the reliability and validity of research findings.

2.2 | Beyond Data Torture: Expanding Coase's Dictum in Epistemology

Ronald Coase's famous dictum, "If you torture data long enough, it will confess to anything," serves as a potent warning against the misuse of statistics in empirical research. However, the dangers of "torture" extend beyond the realm of data manipulation. Two crucial corollaries emerge, highlighting the potential for epistemological fallacies in other areas of inquiry:

1. If you torture your theoretical model, it may lead to anything you want:

Just as data can be manipulated to support pre-determined conclusions, so too can theoretical models. Overly complex models with numerous parameters can be "tuned" to fit any set of observations, regardless of their underlying validity. This "overfitting" can lead to models that are statistically significant but lack genuine explanatory power. They may perform well on the data used for their development but fail to generalize to new, unseen data. This phenomenon is particularly prevalent in fields like machine learning, where sophisticated algorithms can inadvertently capture noise and spurious correlations in the data, leading to misleading predictions.

2. If you torture geometry (in physics), it may confess to almost anything:

While seemingly counterintuitive, the application of complex geometric frameworks in physics can also lead to epistemological pitfalls. In the pursuit of elegant mathematical descriptions of physical phenomena, researchers may inadvertently introduce assumptions or approximations that distort the underlying reality. For instance, idealized models that simplify complex systems by neglecting crucial factors can lead to inaccurate predictions. This can be seen in areas like climate modeling, where simplifying assumptions about atmospheric processes can lead to significant uncertainties in predicting future climate change.

These corollaries underscore the importance of critical thinking and rigorous evaluation in all areas of scientific inquiry. Researchers must be vigilant against the temptation to manipulate data, overfit models, or over-complicate theoretical frameworks to achieve desired outcomes.

Summarizing, Coase's dictum serves as a valuable reminder of the potential for bias and error in empirical research. Recognizing that the dangers of "torture" extend beyond data manipulation is crucial for maintaining the integrity of scientific inquiry across various disciplines. By acknowledging these limitations and striving for objectivity and transparency in our research methods, we can strive to build a more robust and reliable understanding of the world around us.

A few Examples

Epistemology, the study of knowledge, is often seen as an abstract philosophical pursuit. However, its principles are deeply intertwined with the practical challenges of scientific problem-solving. To give an example of epistemology summarized in daily science problem solving, for instance, as Gell-Mann wrote on

Feynman algorithm of problem-solving as essentially consists of: writing down the problem, thinking, thinking harder than writing down the solution.

Feynman's Algorithm and its Extensions:

Richard Feynman, a renowned physicist, famously described his problem-solving approach as a simple yet profound process:

- Write down the problem: Clearly define the research question or challenge.
- Think: Engage in deep contemplation, exploring different perspectives and potential solutions.
- Think harder: Intensify the cognitive effort, pushing beyond initial insights.
- Write down the solution: Document the findings, conclusions, and any remaining uncertainties.
- This seemingly simplistic algorithm highlights the importance of careful consideration, iterative thinking, and clear communication in scientific inquiry.

Let's attempt to translate this into a basic Mathematica code:

FeynmanAlgorithm[problem_] := Module[{thought1, thought2, solution}, thought1 = Think[problem]; thought2 = ThinkHarder[thought1]; solution = FormulateSolution[thought2]; Return[solution]]

This code, while symbolic, captures the essence of Feynman's approach – a sequential progression from problem definition to solution formulation through iterative cycles of thought.

Extending Feynman's Algorithm:

While Feynman's approach emphasizes individual introspection, scientific research often benefits from broader perspectives and collaborative efforts. Two alternative approaches are presented below:

1. Supervisor-Guided Approach:

- Write down the problem: Clearly define the research question or challenge.
- Seek guidance: Consult with senior researchers or supervisors for insights and direction.
- Compile data: Gather relevant data and literature from various sources.
- Analyze data: Employ appropriate analytical methods to extract meaningful information.
- Think harder: Integrate the insights gained from guidance, data analysis, and individual reflection.
- Write down the solution: Document the findings, conclusions, and any remaining uncertainties.

This approach emphasizes the value of mentorship and the importance of data-driven analysis in scientific research.

Mathematica Code:

SupervisorGuidedApproach[problem_] := Module[{guidance, data, analysis, thought, solution}, guidance = SeekGuidance[problem]; data = CompileData[guidance]; analysis = AnalyzeData[data]; thought = ThinkHarder[Join[{guidance, analysis}]]; solution = FormulateSolution[thought]; Return[solution]]

2. Intuilytics Approach:

This approach, inspired by the concept of "*intuilytics*" – a blend of intuition and analytics – emphasizes the role of creative inspiration and immersive experiences in scientific discovery.

- Write down the problem: Clearly define the research question or challenge.
- Immersing one's mind: Engage in activities that foster intuitive insights, such as spending time in nature (e.g., a mountain or forest), engaging in creative pursuits, or engaging in activities that promote

mindfulness, such as doing mindfulness meditation or praying to God Almighty (which are known to many spiritual traditions).

- Compile data: Gather relevant data and literature from various sources.
- Develop methods: Create or adapt analytical methods specifically tailored to the problem and the insights gained during the immersion phase.
- Think and analyze: Integrate the intuitive insights with the data and analytical methods.
- Write down the solution: Document the findings, conclusions, and any remaining uncertainties.

This approach recognizes the importance of unconventional thinking and the power of intuitive leaps in scientific breakthroughs.

Mathematica Code:

```
IntuilyticsApproach[problem_] := Module[ {immersion, data, methods, analysis, solution}, immersion = Immerse[problem]; data = CompileData[problem]; methods = DevelopMethods[Join[{problem, immersion}]]; analysis = AnalyzeData[data, methods]; solution = FormulateSolution[Join[{immersion, analysis}]]; Return[solution] ]
```

These Mathematica codes, while highly simplified, serve as conceptual frameworks for representing these extended problem-solving approaches. They highlight the iterative and multifaceted nature of scientific inquiry, emphasizing the interplay between individual cognition, external guidance, data analysis, and creative inspiration.

Note: These code examples are simplified representations and would require significant further development to implement in a real-world setting.

Challenges and Implications

Although intuilytics offers great potential, several challenges need to be addressed:

- Objectivity: How can intuition and creativity be combined with the standards of objectivity in scientific research?
- Verification: How can knowledge acquired through intuition be verified?
- Interdisciplinarity: How can intuilytics be integrated with existing scientific disciplines?

The application of intuilytics has broad implications for the development of science and society as a whole. This approach can foster the emergence of a more inclusive, creative, and relevant scientific paradigm to address the challenges facing humanity.

3 | Concluding Remark

Epistemology, far from being a purely philosophical pursuit, is deeply embedded in the daily practice of science. By understanding and adapting these problem-solving approaches, researchers can enhance their critical thinking skills, foster creativity, and ultimately advance our understanding of the world around us.

Right-brain-based epistemology, or intuilytics, offers an attractive alternative to the existing scientific paradigm. By emphasizing the role of intuition, creativity, and holistic thinking, this approach allows us to explore previously neglected dimensions of human experience. Although there are challenges to be overcome, intuilytics has the potential to transform the way we understand the world and conduct scientific research.

Intuilytics offers a much-needed counterbalance to the dominant paradigm of Western science. By prioritizing the wisdom of the right brain – intuition, holism, empathy, and creativity – we can cultivate a more nuanced, compassionate, and ultimately more effective approach to understanding the world. This shift in perspective is not only essential for the advancement of science but also for the well-being of humanity and the planet.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to the editorial and reviewers, as well as the correspondent author, who offered assistance in the form of advice, assessment, and checking during the study period. Deep gratitude is extended to Robert N. Boyd, PhD., senior consultant to Princeton Biotechnology Corp, NJ, and Prof Iwan Pranoto from Dept. Mathematics, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia, and other science luminaries who cannot be mentioned here one by one. Nonetheless, the ideas and conclusions made here are ours.

Disclaimer

This article presents a perspective on the importance of culturally grounded epistemology in science. It is important to acknowledge the complexities and potential challenges involved in integrating diverse knowledge systems. Careful consideration must be given to issues of power dynamics, ethical appropriation, and the potential for misrepresentation of local knowledge. Parts of this article were based on Iain McGilchrist and also our epistemological new concept called intuilytics.

Funding

This research has no funding source.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the privacy-preserving nature of the data but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the research.

Ethical Approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

[1] Christianto, Victor & Smarandache, F. Eureka moment as divine spark. Scientific God J. (2019). www.SciGod.com.
[2] Christianto, Victor & Smarandache, F., Umniyati, Yunita. On Post-Empiricism doctrine and Neutrosophic way of doing science. Scientific God J. (2020) www.SciGod.com
[3] McGilchrist, Iain. The Master and His emissary: The divided brain and the making of the western world. New Haven and London: Yale University Press (2009)
[4] Pals, D.L. Agama dan sistem kebudayaan menurut Clifford Geertz. (excerpt from Seven Theories of Religion). Yogyakarta: IRCiSod, 2024
[5] Frankl, V.E. Yes to Life. Preface from Daniel Goleman. New York: Beacon Press, 2019.
[6] Hossenfelder, S. Lost in Math (2021)
[7] Burkus, D. The myths of creativity. New York: Josey-Bass Publ. ( )