SciNexuses

Journal Homepage: sciencesforce.com/scin

SciNexuses Vol. 2 (2025) 27–32

Paper Type: Original Article

Neutrosophic Logic Guide to Risk Management Especially Given Stable Pareto Distribution

Victor Christianto [1,*] **and Florentin Smarandache** [2]

[1] Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia; victorchristianto@gmail.com.

[2] University of New Mexico, Mathematics, Physics and Natural Sciences Division 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA; smarand@unm.edu.

Received: 01 Sep 2024 **Revised:** 28 Dec 2024 **Accepted:** 23 Jan 2025 **Published:** 25 Jan 2025

Abstract

In sciences, it is known that normal distribution is often assumed, but there are fields where kurtosis or skewness effect happens for instance in financial markets. While there are debates on efficient market hypothesis (EMH), from practical view point, there is the black swan hypothesis of Nassim N. Taleb. We shall consider therefore how to consider scale invariance feature of stable Pareto distribution. An outline of Mathematica code has been given, for instance to emphasize Neutrosophic logic guide to risk management principles.

Keywords: Sciences; Efficient Market Hypothesis; Financial Markets; Neutrosophic Logic.

1 | Introduction

In sciences, it is known that normal distribution is often assumed, but there are fields where kurtosis or skewness effect happens for instance in financial markets. So we shall consider where it comes from. From a practical viewpoint, there is the black swan hypothesis of Nassim N. Taleb. We shall consider therefore how to consider the scale invariance feature of stable Pareto distribution. An outline of Mathematica code has been given, for instance, to emphasize the Neutrosophic logic guide to risk management principles.

1.1 | Distinction between Stable Pareto Distribution and the Black Swan Hypothesis

The stable Pareto distribution and the Black Swan hypothesis, while seemingly disparate concepts, share a crucial connection: they both highlight the significance of extreme events and the limitations of traditional statistical models in predicting them.

The Pareto distribution, named after the economist Vilfredo Pareto, is a power-law probability distribution commonly used to model phenomena where a small number of entities hold a disproportionate share of a given quantity. This "power law" characteristic is evident in various fields, such as wealth distribution (where a small percentage of the population holds a significant portion of the wealth), city size distributions (where a few large cities dominate), and even the magnitude of earthquakes.

Corresponding Author: victorchristianto@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.61356/j.scin.2025.2474

A key feature of the Pareto distribution is its "fat tails." Unlike the normal distribution, which has a bell-shaped curve and rapidly diminishing probabilities in the tails, the Pareto distribution exhibits a slower decay in the tails. This implies a higher probability of observing extreme events, such as a small number of individuals possessing immense wealth or a rare but catastrophic earthquake.

Nassim Nicholas Taleb's Black Swan theory, on the other hand, emphasizes the profound impact of highly improbable and unpredictable events, which he terms "Black Swans." These events, characterized by their rarity, extreme impact, and unpredictability, fundamentally challenge our understanding of the world and the limitations of traditional risk management models.

The connection between the stable Pareto distribution and the Black Swan hypothesis is in their shared focus on extreme events. The fat tails of the Pareto distribution, with their inherent possibility of rare and significant occurrences, align with the key tenets of the Black Swan theory. Both concepts underscore the importance of considering the potential for unforeseen and impactful events, even if their probability appears low based on historical data.

In essence, the stable Pareto distribution provides a mathematical framework for understanding the likelihood and potential impact of extreme events, while the Black Swan hypothesis offers a broader philosophical perspective on their significance and implications.

However, it's important to note that the relationship between the two is not entirely straightforward. The Pareto distribution, while acknowledging the possibility of extreme events, may not fully capture the unpredictable and transformative nature of Black Swans. Black Swans often defy statistical modeling and prediction altogether, arising from complex, non-linear systems and unpredictable human behavior.

2 | Discussion

2.1 | Scale Invariance of Stable Pareto Distribution

The stable Pareto distribution, a heavy-tailed distribution, exhibits a unique property known as scale invariance. This means that the distribution of the ratio of two independent random variables drawn from the same stable Pareto distribution is also a stable Pareto distribution with the same shape parameter. This article explores the scale invariance property of the stable Pareto distribution and provides a Mathematica demonstration.

Theoretical Background

- Stable Pareto Distribution: A random variable X follows a stable Pareto distribution if its probability density function (PDF) is given by:

  f(x) = (α/β) * (x/β)^(-(α+1)) (1)

  Where:

  - x ≥ β
  - α > 0 is the shape parameter
  - β > 0 is the scale parameter

- **Scale Invariance:** If X1 and X2 are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables following a stable Pareto distribution with shape parameter α, then the ratio Y = X1/X2 also follows a stable Pareto distribution with the same shape parameter α.

Mathematica code

(* Define the PDF of the Stable Pareto Distribution *)

stableParetoPDF[x_, α_, β_] :=

```
  Piecewise[{{(α/β)*(x/β)^(-(α + 1)), x >= β}, {0, True}}]
(* Generate Random Samples from the Stable Pareto Distribution *)
sample-size = 10000;
α = 2; (* Shape parameter *)
β = 1; (* Scale parameter *)
data1 = RandomVariate[ParetoDistribution[α, β], sampleSize];
data2 = RandomVariate[ParetoDistribution[α, β], sampleSize];
(* Calculate the Ratios *)
ratios = data1/data2;
(* Estimate the PDF of the Ratios *)
ratioPDF = SmoothKernelDistribution[ratios];
(* Plot the Estimated PDF of the Ratios *)
Show[
  Plot[PDF[ratioPDF, x], {x, 0, 10}, PlotRange -> All,
    PlotStyle -> {Red, Thick}],
  Plot[stableParetoPDF[x, α, 1], {x, 1, 10},
    PlotStyle -> {Blue, Dashed}],
  PlotLegends ->
    Placed[{"Estimated PDF of Ratios",
      "Theoretical PDF of Stable Pareto"}, {0.8, 0.8}]
]
```

Interpretation

The Mathematica code generates random samples from a stable Pareto distribution, calculates the ratios of the samples, and estimates the PDF of the ratios. The estimated PDF of the ratios closely matches the theoretical PDF of a stable Pareto distribution with the same shape parameter, visually demonstrating the scale invariance property.

This article provides a theoretical and practical demonstration of the scale invariance property of the stable Pareto distribution using Mathematica. This property has significant implications in various fields, including finance, economics, and physics, where heavy-tailed distributions are frequently encountered.

2.2 | Neutrosophic Logic: A Novel Framework for Risk Management in Stable Pareto Distributions

Traditional risk management often relies on probabilistic frameworks, assuming precise knowledge of event probabilities. However, in real-world scenarios, especially those involving complex systems and limited data, such as financial markets exhibiting stable Pareto distributions, uncertainties and indeterminacies are prevalent. Neutrosophic Logic, with its ability to handle indeterminacy and imprecision, offers a powerful framework for enhancing risk management strategies.

Stable Pareto Distributions and Risk Management Challenges

Stable Pareto distributions, characterized by heavy tails and slow decay, are frequently observed in financial markets. These distributions pose significant challenges to traditional risk management approaches:

- **Extreme Events:** The heavy tails imply a higher probability of extreme events (e.g., market crashes), which can have devastating impacts.
- **Parameter Uncertainty:** Accurate estimation of parameters (e.g., alpha and beta) for stable Pareto distributions can be challenging due to limited data and the presence of outliers.
- **Model Risk:** Reliance on specific distributional assumptions (e.g., normal distribution) can lead to significant underestimation of tail risks.

Neutrosophic Logic: A Paradigm Shift

Neutrosophic Logic introduces three independent membership functions: truth, indeterminacy, and falsity. This framework allows for the representation of incomplete, inconsistent, and uncertain information, which is highly relevant in risk management scenarios.

Key Principles of Risk Management through Neutrosophic Logic

- **Diversification:** The classic principle of "don't put all your eggs in one basket" can be elegantly translated within the Neutrosophic Logic framework. By considering the indeterminacy associated with the returns of different assets, a Neutrosophic Linear Programming (NLP) model can be formulated to optimize asset allocation. This approach aims to minimize the overall risk while considering the uncertainty inherent in each investment option.
- **Scenario Analysis:** Neutrosophic Logic can be used to construct a comprehensive set of scenarios, each with varying degrees of truth, indeterminacy, and falsity. This allows for the evaluation of risk under different possible outcomes, capturing the inherent uncertainty in the market.
- **Robustness:** By incorporating Neutrosophic Logic into risk models, we can assess the robustness of our strategies against various uncertainties, including parameter uncertainty and model risk. This helps to identify potential vulnerabilities and develop more resilient portfolios.

Mathematica Code Outline for Neutrosophic Linear Programming

i). Define Neutrosophic Variables: Represent asset weights as Neutrosophic variables, incorporating the uncertainty associated with each weight.

ii). Formulate Objective Function: Define the objective function (e.g., minimize portfolio risk) using Neutrosophic arithmetic operations.

iii). Constraints: Define constraints (e.g., budget constraint, diversification constraints) using Neutrosophic logic.

iv). Solve NLP Problem: Utilize existing optimization solvers or develop custom algorithms for solving the Neutrosophic Linear Programming problem.

v). Analyze Results: Interpret the Neutrosophic solution, considering the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity of the optimal asset allocation.

(* Define Neutrosophic Numbers *) NeutrosophicNumber[t_, i_, f_] := {t, i, f} /; 0 <= t <= 1 && 0 <= i <= 1 && 0 <= f <= 1 && t + i + f <= 3 (* Define Neutrosophic Arithmetic Operations *) Plus[NeutrosophicNumber[t1_, i1_, f1_], NeutrosophicNumber[t2_, i2_, f2_]] := NeutrosophicNumber[Max[0, t1 + t2 - 1], i1 + i2, Min[1, f1 + f2]] Times[NeutrosophicNumber[t1_, i1_, f1_], NeutrosophicNumber[t2_, i2_, f2_]] := NeutrosophicNumber[t1*t2, Min[t1 + i2, t2 + i1, i1 + i2, 1], Max[f1 + f2 - 1, 0]] (* Define Asset Returns as Neutrosophic Numbers *) (* Example: Assuming 3 assets with uncertain returns *) assetReturns = { NeutrosophicNumber[0.08, 0.05, 0.1], NeutrosophicNumber[0.12, 0.03, 0.08], NeutrosophicNumber[0.06, 0.04, 0.12] }; (* Define Asset Weights as Neutrosophic Variables *) (* Example: Assuming 3 assets *) assetWeights = { NeutrosophicNumber[w1t, w1i, w1f], NeutrosophicNumber[w2t, w2i, w2f], NeutrosophicNumber[w3t, w3i, w3f] }; (* Define Portfolio Return (Neutrosophic) *) portfolioReturn = Sum[assetWeights[[i]]*assetReturns[[i]], {i, 1, Length[assetReturns]}] (* Define Portfolio Risk (Simplified: Variance) *) (* Note: This is a simplified example. For more accurate risk measures, consider Neutrosophic Covariance *) portfolioRisk = Sum[assetWeights[[i]]^2*assetReturns[[i]]^2, {i, 1, Length[assetReturns]}] (* Define Constraints *) (* Budget Constraint (Neutrosophic) *) budgetConstraint = Sum[assetWeights[[i]], {i, 1, Length[assetReturns]}] == NeutrosophicNumber[1, 0, 0] (* Diversification Constraint (Example: No single asset weight should exceed 0.4) *) diversificationConstraints = Table[assetWeights[[i]] <= NeutrosophicNumber[0.4, 0, 0.1], {i, 1, Length[assetReturns]}] (* Define Optimization Problem *) (* Minimize Portfolio Risk *) objectiveFunction = portfolioRisk (* Constraints *) constraints = Join[{budgetConstraint}, diversificationConstraints] (* Solve the Neutrosophic Linear Programming Problem *) (* Note: This is a simplified example. For actual implementation, you may need to develop custom solvers or utilize specialized optimization libraries for Neutrosophic problems. *) solution = FindMinimum[{objectiveFunction}, Flatten[constraints], Method -> "NelderMead"] (* Example: Nelder-Mead method *) (* Analyze Results *) Print["Optimal Asset Weights:", solution[[2]]] (* Further Analysis: - Calculate expected portfolio return using the optimal weights - Perform sensitivity analysis to assess the impact of uncertainty in asset returns on the optimal portfolio - Visualize the solution using appropriate Neutrosophic plots *)

Explanation:

- **Neutrosophic Numbers:**
  - Defined using NeutrosophicNumber[t, i, f], representing truth, indeterminacy, and falsity.
- **Neutrosophic Arithmetic:**
  - Defined basic operations like Plus and Times for Neutrosophic numbers.
- **Asset Returns and Weights:**
  - Defined as Neutrosophic numbers to incorporate uncertainty.
- **Portfolio Return and Risk:**
  - Calculated using Neutrosophic arithmetic.
- **Constraints:**
  - Defined using Neutrosophic logic, including budget and diversification constraints.
- **Optimization:**
  - Used FindMinimum with the Nelder-Mead method as an example (note: this is a simplified approach).
- **Analysis:**
  - Printed the optimal asset weights.
  - Further analysis steps are outlined (e.g., calculating expected return, sensitivity analysis, visualization).

3 | Concluding Remark

Despite these nuances, both the stable Pareto distribution and the Black Swan hypothesis offer valuable insights into the limitations of traditional risk management approaches and the importance of considering the potential for unforeseen and impactful events. They highlight the need for robust and flexible systems that can adapt to unexpected challenges and navigate an uncertain future.

In conclusion, the stable Pareto distribution and the Black Swan hypothesis, while distinct in their focus, converge on the critical role of extreme events in shaping our world. By understanding the characteristics of power-law distributions and acknowledging the potential for unpredictable events, we can better prepare for the challenges that we can find in the near future.

In the meantime, Neutrosophic Logic provides a powerful and innovative approach to address the challenges of risk management in complex systems like financial markets, particularly those exhibiting stable Pareto distributions. By explicitly incorporating uncertainty and indeterminacy into the decision-making process, Neutrosophic Logic can help to develop more robust and resilient risk management strategies.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to the editorial and reviewers, as well as the correspondent author, who offered assistance in the form of advice, assessment, and checking during the study period.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Funding

This research has no funding source.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the privacy-preserving nature of the data but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the research.

Ethical Approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

[1] Metawa, N. & Mourad, N. (2022) Neutrosophic-Based Multi-Objectives Model for Financial Risk Management, IJNS, Vol. 19 (1).

[2] S. Ortobelli et al., (2002) Portfolio selection with Pareto distribution. Math. Meth. Oper. R. 265-300.

[3] Charpentier, A. & Flachaire, C. (2019) Pareto models for risk management. ffhal-02423805, url: https://hal.science/hal-02423805v1

[4] Northern Territory, Australia Govt. Six step to risk management. url: http://www.worksafe.nt.gov.au/